

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONALD RAY WALKER,

No. C-10-1425 TEH (PR)

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER OF SERVICE

DONALD POM PAN, et. al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a prisoner incarcerated at the Correctional Training Facility ("CTF") in Soledad, California, has filed a pro se Complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that CTF medical personnel were deliberately indifferent to his serious medical needs. Doc. #1.

I

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint,

1 or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint "is frivolous,  
2 malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be  
3 granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune  
4 from such relief." Id. § 1915A(b). Pleadings filed by pro se  
5 litigants, however, must be liberally construed. Hebbe v. Pliler,  
6 611 F.3d 1202, 1205 (9th Cir. 2010); Balistreri v. Pacifica Police  
7 Dep't., 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

8 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must  
9 allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the  
10 Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that  
11 the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the  
12 color of state law. West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

13  
14 A

15 Deliberate indifference to serious medical needs violates  
16 the Eighth Amendment's proscription against cruel and unusual  
17 punishment. Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 104 (1976). A "serious  
18 medical need" exists if the failure to treat a prisoner's condition  
19 could result in further significant injury or the "unnecessary and  
20 wanton infliction of pain." McGuckin v. Smith, 974 F.2d 1050, 1059  
21 (9th Cir. 1992) (citing Estelle, 429 U.S. at 104), overruled in part  
22 on other grounds by WMX Technologies, Inc. v. Miller, 104 F.3d 1133,  
23 1136 (9th Cir. 1997) (en banc). A prison official is "deliberately  
24 indifferent" if he knows that a prisoner faces a substantial risk of  
25 serious harm and disregards that risk by failing to take reasonable  
26 steps to abate it. Farmer v. Brennan, 511 U.S. 825, 837 (1994).

27 //

B

Here, plaintiff alleges that CTF medical personnel were deliberately indifferent to his serious medical needs regarding the treatment and surgery on his knee, which caused resulting back pain. Liberally construed, plaintiff's allegations appear to state a cognizable Eighth Amendment claim for deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs and defendants will be served.

## II

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown:

1. The Clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, copies of the Complaint in this matter, all attachments thereto, and copies of this Order on defendants CTF staff physicians/surgeons Donald Pom Pan, Timothy Friederichs, J. Walker and Michael Sepulveda. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this Order on Plaintiff.

2. To expedite the resolution of this case, the Court orders as follows:

a. No later than ninety (90) days from the date of this Order, Defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. A motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56, and shall include as exhibits all records and incident reports stemming from the events at issue. If Defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment or other dispositive motion, they shall so inform the Court prior to the date their motion is due. All

1 papers filed with the Court shall be served promptly on Plaintiff.

2 b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion  
3 shall be filed with the court and served upon Defendants no later  
4 than thirty (30) days after Defendants serve Plaintiff with the  
5 motion.

6 c. Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary  
7 judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will,  
8 if granted, end your case. Rule 56 tells you what you must do in  
9 order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary  
10 judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material  
11 fact - that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that  
12 would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for  
13 summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which  
14 will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for  
15 summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or  
16 other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your  
17 complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in  
18 declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or  
19 authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradicts  
20 the facts shown in the Defendants' declarations and documents and  
21 show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If  
22 you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment,  
23 if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is  
24 granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.  
25 Rand v Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) (App.  
26 A) .

27 Plaintiff also is advised that a motion to dismiss for  
28

1 failure to exhaust administrative remedies under 42 U.S.C. §  
2 1997e(a) will, if granted, end your case, albeit without prejudice.  
3 You must "develop a record" and present it in your opposition in  
4 order to dispute any "factual record" presented by the defendants in  
5 their motion to dismiss. Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n.14  
6 (9th Cir. 2003).

7 d. Defendants shall file a reply brief within  
8 fifteen (15) days of the date on which Plaintiff serves them with  
9 the opposition.

10 e. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the  
11 date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion  
12 unless the Court so orders at a later date.

13 3. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal  
14 Rules of Civil Procedure. No further court order is required before  
15 the parties may conduct discovery.

16 4. All communications by Plaintiff with the Court must  
17 be served on Defendants, or Defendants' counsel once counsel has  
18 been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to  
19 Defendants or Defendants' counsel.

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //


26 //

27 //

1           5. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this  
2 case. Plaintiff must keep the court and all parties informed of any  
3 change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a  
4 timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of  
5 this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

6  
7           IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED    09/29/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THELTON E. HENDERSON  
United States District Judge

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 G:\PRO-SE\TEH\CR.10\Walker-10-1425-order of service.wpd  
27  
28